

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

APPENDIX A

City of London Police

Policing Plan Performance Measures 2016-17

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

Summary Dashboard

MEASURE
1. The level of specific counter terrorism deployments tasked that are completed
2. The percentage of those surveyed who are reassured by what the City of London Police are doing to protect the City from terrorism
3. The level of evidence-based education and enforcement activities, supporting the City of London Corporation's casualty reduction target
4. The number of disposals from manned enforcement activities
5. The percentage of those surveyed who are satisfied with the information provided to them about large scale, pre-planned events and how those events were ultimately policed
6. The level of victim-based violent crime
7. The level of victim-based acquisitive crime
8. The level of antisocial behaviour incidents
9. The percentage of victims of fraud investigated by the Economic Crime Directorate who are satisfied with the service provided
10. The percentage of ECD City Fraud Investigations resulting in a positive action whether through offender disposal, prevention or disruption
11. The attrition rate of crimes reported to Action Fraud
12. The percentage of complaints compared to the number of Action Fraud reports received
13. Level of the National Lead Force's return on investment
14. The potential value of fraud prevented through interventions
15. The percentage of victims of fraud who are satisfied with the Action Fraud reporting service
16. The capacity and capability of the Force to deal with the threat posed by cyber crime
17. The level of satisfaction of victims of crime with the service provided by the city of London police
18. The percentage of people surveyed who believe the police in the City of London are doing a good or excellent job

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

PRIORITY: To protect the City of London from terrorism and extremism	
Measure 1	The level of specific counter terrorism deployments tasked that are completed
Owner	Crime Directorate
AIM/RATIONALE	Security Group meets weekly (or as required depending on threat levels) to consider intelligence relating to the threat from terrorism and extremism. Tactical options are considered at and tasked out at that meeting to ensure the Force is doing everything it can to protect the City from the terrorist threat. This measure will assess the level of tasking that are completed by the Force, which together with details of engagement and preventative work, will provide a broad picture of how the Force is supporting delivery of its counter terrorism priority.
DEFINITIONS	“Counter Terrorism options tasked” are specific actions tasked by Security Group for completion.
MEASUREMENT	This measure will be reported against using the percentage of counter terrorism options tasked that are completed (as assessed by Security Group) The reported measure will be complemented by information detailing: (1) Visibility – providing details of levels of patrolling or specific events with the community; (2) Information – providing details of education or advice provided;
DATA SOURCES	UPD/I&I/Crime Directorate

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

PRIORITY: To protect the City of London from terrorism and extremism	
Measure 2	The percentage of those surveyed who are reassured by what the City of London Police are doing to protect the City from terrorism
Owner	UPD
AIM/RATIONALE	The aim of this measure is to provide the Force with data to allow it to assess the impact its counter terrorism work has on feelings of safety amongst the community and the extent to which they are confident that City is protected from terrorism.
DEFINITIONS	NA
MEASUREMENT	Data for this measure will be provided from the iModus surveys, conducted quarterly. The question asked is “Do you feel reassured by the work done by the City of London Police to protect the City from terrorism. Respondents will be asked what they expect from the Force to improve, which can be used to inform operational and communications plans.
DATA SOURCE	UPD and Crime

PRIORITY: Safer Roads	
Measure 3	Level s of evidence-based education and enforcement activities, supporting the City of London Corporation’s casualty reduction target
Owner	UPD
AIM/RATIONALE	The City of London Corporation is statutorily obliged to lower KSI on the City’s roads. The Force has a statutory responsibility to enforce road traffic legislation, which together with its programme of education aimed at road users, should result in safer roads for all.
DEFINITIONS	An evidence-based enforcement or education activity in any activity aimed at road users (drivers, cyclists, motor cyclists and vulnerable road users (including pedestrians)) intended to educate road users for better or more responsible road use.
MEASUREMENT	Reporting against this measure will entail providing details of activities conducted together with the reasons why those events have taken place and anticipated impact. The City’s KSI levels will be provided for information.
DATA SOURCE	UPD/I&I/Crime Directorate

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

PRIORITY: Safer Roads	
Measure 4	The number of disposals from manned enforcement activities
Owner	UPD
AIM/RATIONALE	The nationally recognised offences that lead to the vast majority of road traffic collisions (where offending is involved) are seatbelt use, speeding, drink/drug driving and use of a mobile phone whilst driving. Focussing on the primary two (using a mobile phone whilst driving and speeding) will result in a long term change of behaviour of drivers in the City of London. Targeted, evidence-based operations to detect speeding and mobile phone offenders should result in lower impact collision speeds which should reduce injuries, especially serious injuries; fewer distracted drivers should reduce the likelihood of collisions occurring. Within the City, HGVs are also involved in a high proportion of accidents involving vulnerable road users. A dedicated HGV taskforce will deliver bespoke operations targeting HGVs. This measure supports enforcement of the 20mph zone and directly contributes to the Force's support of the City of London's casualty reduction target.
DEFINITIONS	A disposal is (on a sliding scale of seriousness) either a traffic offence report (TOR), fixed penalty notice (FPN) or summons. A consistent monthly trend is one that is within 15% of the rolling monthly average
MEASUREMENT	This measure will be assessed against the number and type of disposals that result from manned enforcement activities. PMG will receive monthly levels of TORs, FPN and summonses that relate to using mobile phones whilst driving and speeding. This will be complemented by a narrative that will detail the results of operations targeting HGVs, including tachograph and driving hours infringements.
DATA SOURCE	UPD/I&I

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

PRIORITY: Public Order	
Measure 5	The percentage of those surveyed who are satisfied with the information provided to them about large scale, pre-planned events and how those events were ultimately policed.
Owner	UPD
AIM/RATIONALE	The aim of this measure is to provide the Force with information relating to how satisfied the community is with information received about pre-planned public order events and satisfaction with how those events were actually policed.
DEFINITIONS	A “pre-planned event” is one where advance notice is given which requires a police plan and subsequent deployment of officers.
MEASUREMENT	Reporting will provide details of engagement/information provided before and during the event, together with the results of iModus VOCAL surveys of those that received the information.
DATA SOURCE	UPD

PRIORITY: Tackling Crime	
Measure 6	Levels of victim-based violent crime.
Owner	UPD
AIM/RATIONALE	The aim of this measure is to provide the Force will sufficiently detailed information (intelligence and statistics) to allow it to manage its response to violent crime efficiently and effectively. Victim based violent crime is one of two categories of crime (the other being acquisitive crime) that constitutes the greatest volume of crime.
DEFINITIONS	“Victim-based violent crime” comprises homicide, violence with injury, violence without injury, sexual offences and robbery “Systemic increase” is one that is 6 consecutive increases above the mean or 4 consecutive increases above a control level
MEASUREMENT	PMG will receive data around current levels of victim-based violent crime, trend information and analysis.
DATA SOURCE	PIU (I&I)

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

PRIORITY: Tackling Crime	
Measure 7	Levels of victim-based acquisitive crime.
Owner	Crime Investigation
AIM/RATIONALE	The aim of this measure is to provide the Force with sufficiently detailed information (intelligence and statistics) to allow it to manage its response to acquisitive crime efficiently and effectively. Victim based acquisitive crime represents the Force's largest volume crime area.
DEFINITIONS	"Victim-based acquisitive crime" comprises robbery, vehicle crime and theft "Systemic increase" is one that is 6 consecutive increases above the mean or 4 consecutive increases above a control level
MEASUREMENT	Assessment is based on current levels of victim-based acquisitive crime, trend information and analysis. Trend criteria:
DATA SOURCE	PIU (I&I)

PRIORITY: Tackling Antisocial Behaviour	
Measure 8	Levels of antisocial behaviour incidents in the City of London.
Owner	UPD
AIM/RATIONALE	The aim of this measure is to provide the Force will sufficiently detailed information (intelligence and statistics) to allow it to manage its response to antisocial behaviour efficiently and effectively. It is a direct outcome measure that indicates the Force's success in addressing and preventing ASB.
DEFINITIONS	An "ASB incident" is an incident that has been closed on the Daris system using Codes 1, 2 or 3, Incident and Attendance "Systemic increase" is one that is 6 consecutive increases above the mean or 4 consecutive increases above a control level
MEASUREMENT	Assessment of performance will be based on data around current levels of ASB, trend information and analysis.
DATA SOURCE	PIU (I&I)

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

PRIORITY: Protect the City of London and UK from Fraud	
MEASURE 9	The percentage of victims of fraud investigated by the Economic Crime Directorate who are satisfied with the service provided
OWNER	Economic Crime Directorate
AIM/RATIONALE	This measure focuses on frauds investigated by the Force's ECD. It is not sufficient to be effective in terms of fighting fraud; we are also required to deliver a first class service to victims providing them with the support and help they need at different points in the investigative process.
DEFINITIONS	"Investigation" : - This is all Unifi crime records classified as "Fraud Investigations – Substantive offences recorded in Action Fraud" allocated to ECD Operational Teams "Victim" – Victims include those whose referrals have been adopted for investigation by ECD. Given the nature and duration of economic crime investigations it is highly probable that these victims will have been captured by the Victim Code even if the ultimate outcome is NFA.
MEASUREMENT	Measurement will be by survey. ECD will have the overall satisfaction figure by the beginning of the second week in the new quarter to report to the Force Performance Monitoring Group. The full report to follow in slower time.
DATA SOURCE	ECD Strategic Delivery Unit

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

PRIORITY: Protect the City of London and UK from Fraud	
MEASURE 10	The percentage of ECD City Fraud Investigations resulting in a positive action whether through offender disposal, prevention or disruption
OWNER	Economic Crime Directorate
AIM/RATIONALE	Ensuring that wherever possible the Force takes positive action with every City Fraud Crime investigated by ECD demonstrating the diverse and high quality service victims can expect from CoLP ECD. This positive action is likely to enhance overall victim satisfaction and the City's standing as a safe and desirable place to live and work.
DEFINITIONS	<p>"City Fraud Crime" includes all ECD Fraud investigations into fraud or fraud related offences occurring within the City of London.</p> <p>"Point of outcome" is defined as when there is an offender disposal or when the crime is closed and categorised in accordance with the HO crime outcomes.</p> <p>"Positive action" is defined as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. When there is an offender disposal.2. When there is a confirmed disruption of a technological or financial fraud enabler.3. When the crime contributes to an ECD Fraud awareness/ prevention product.
MEASUREMENT	Measurement will be based upon the percentage of City fraud investigations reaching the point of outcome benefitting from positive action.
DATA SOURCE	ECD Strategic Delivery Unit

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

PRIORITY: National Lead Force	
MEASURE 11	The attrition rate of crimes reported to Action Fraud
OWNER	Economic Crime Directorate
AIM/RATIONALE	CoLP as the national lead force has a responsibility to improve the police service response to fraud nationally, and the service provided to victims in particular. A key way of measuring this is to ensure that as many victims as possible receive a positive outcome from having reported a crime to Action Fraud. This measure allows an assessment of the overall performance of the end to end process from reports received by Action Fraud, through NFIB data collation and crime packaging to action by police forces.
DEFINITIONS	<p>“Attrition rate”: - This describes the ratio of outcomes to the number of reports received by Action Fraud.</p> <p>“Disseminated reports”:- A crime report received by Action Fraud that has undergone assessment, had intelligence added or deemed viable for investigation and disseminated to a police force or other partner agencies.</p> <p>“Outcome”:- An outcome is determined by the Home Office counting rules and is achieved when a disseminated crime results in outcomes 1-18 (This only applies to police services and only includes those outcomes reported to the NFIB registrar).</p>
MEASUREMENT	<p>The ECD Strategic Delivery Unit (SDU) will report monthly on the number of Action Fraud reports received and disseminated together with the outcomes to produce the attrition rate.</p> <p>GUIDE: To be confirmed</p>
DATA SOURCE	Know Fraud, SharePoint and individual Police forces via Strategic Delivery Unit, ECD

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

PRIORITY: National Lead Force	
MEASURE 12	The percentage of complaints compared to the number of Action Fraud reports received
OWNER	Economic Crime Directorate
AIM/RATIONALE	As the national fraud reporting centre Action Fraud has the responsibility to provide a first class service to fraud victims. Addressing dissatisfaction and complaints is a key priority to maintaining both reporting and confidence levels in the service. Monitoring the level of complaints will indicate the extent to which Action Fraud is listening to victims' needs and improving service levels. The percentage of complaints against the number of reports made will indicate the quality of the service being provided.
DEFINITIONS	<p>“Overall percentage of Customer Complaints against number of action fraud reports received”: - This refers to the percentage of fraud reporting victims, who have submitted a complaint in relation to an aspect of the service received by Action fraud.</p> <p>Types of complaints received:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Lack of update – When the victim hasn't been updated on the status of their report,• Dissatisfaction with a letter received – No satisfied with the content/tone of status update letters• Quality of communication with the contact centre – Poor standards of service• Dissatisfaction with a specific aspect of the action fraud process- such as the criteria used to determine whether a report qualifies as a report of fraud.
MEASUREMENT	PMG will receive monthly reports of the percentage of fraud reporting victims that have submitted a complaint.
DATA SOURCE	Action Fraud Systems, via Strategic Delivery Unit, ECD

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

PRIORITY: National Lead Force	
MEASURE 13	Level of the National Lead Force's return on investment
OWNER	Economic Crime Directorate
AIM/RATIONALE	It is not sufficient to be effective in terms of fighting fraud; the NLF is also required to be efficient, representing a good return on investment. This measure allows for an assessment of the cost of the resources invested against the monetary value of the fraud prevented.
DEFINITIONS	"Return " : - The value of money saved by ECD activities "Investment " :- The total amount of money spent on ECD activities "Return on investment" :- The amount of money saved by ECD for every pound of money spent
MEASUREMENT	<p>The ECD ROI figure is calculated using the same methodology employed by most organisations who want to illustrate a "potential" value of services provided to Stakeholders in monetary terms. The total amount of money saved as a result of ECD activities is divided by the total amount of money spent in order to provide the total estimated pound saved figure. The assumption is that for every pound spent ECD save stakeholders and the public (an estimated) 'x' amount of money.</p> <p>The elements that constitute savings include;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Projected monetary value of future fraud loss saved by disrupting technological enablers of crime• The pound value of criminal asset denial through to recovery• Projected pound value of future fraud loss saved by ECD Enforcement Cases
DATA SOURCE	UNIFI, NFIB, Asset Recovery, finance dept via Strategic Delivery Unit, ECD

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

PRIORITY: Providing the national lead against Fraud	
MEASURE 14	The potential value of fraud prevented through interventions
OWNER	Economic Crime Directorate
AIM/RATIONALE	To demonstrate the outcome in financial terms the results across a broad range of operational activity aimed at tackling fraud.
DEFINITIONS	An intervention is a disruption of a financial, technological or professional enabler of fraud. Each enabler has a defined, agreed value attached to it so there is consistency to ascribing values to the disruption of a particular enabler (e.g. taking down a website, telephone line or sham business or bank account).
MEASUREMENT	PMG will receive data monthly detailing the total value of confirmed fraud enabler disruptions. The amounts reported will be the £ value calculated from agreed definitions produced by NFIB that can be attributed to the disruption of a web site or bank account multiplied by the number of confirmed interventions in the period. Comparative and trend information will be provided with previous month and longer term.
DATA SOURCE	ECD Strategic Delivery Unit

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

PRIORITY: Providing the national lead against Fraud	
MEASURE 15	The percentage of victims of fraud who are satisfied with the Action Fraud reporting service
OWNER	Economic Crime Directorate
AIM/RATIONALE	Action Fraud is a bespoke service for victims of fraud; it is essential to maintain levels of service to ensure Action Fraud is utilised fully to the benefit of victims. The Force took full responsibility for Action Fraud in April 2014 and with that came the opportunity to develop the same high satisfaction standards that are achieved elsewhere for victims of crime. Accessible crime recording facilities are essential to maintain the level of information required to identify and mitigate the fraud threat during initiation and growth.
DEFINITIONS	The measure relates to ease of reporting a crime and how efficiently it is allocated. As a large number of crimes are allocated to other forces for investigation, the Force cannot be held responsible for end-to-end victim satisfaction at the current time.
MEASUREMENT	Quarterly by survey. PMG will receive data detailing the number of reports to Action Fraud in the reporting period, the percentage satisfaction of victims using the online survey and the percentage satisfaction of victims using the telephone survey. The victim survey is conducted at the conclusion of the initial reporting the crime and can be completed online or over the phone.
DATA SOURCE	Action Fraud via Strategic Delivery Unit, ECD

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

PRIORITY: Cyber Crime	
MEASURE 16	The capacity and capability of the Force to deal with the threat posed by cyber crime
OWNER	Crime
AIM/RATIONALE	To implement the Force Cyber Crime Strategy and ensure that the Force has the appropriate capability to respond effectively to the threat and harm posed by cyber enabled and cyber crime within the City of London, and support national and regional obligations under the Strategic Policing Requirement
DEFINITIONS	NA
MEASUREMENT	<p>Measurement: The measurement of this will be provided by a narrative assessment quarterly by the Chair of the Cyber Crime Working group. Figures will be provided on the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Number of Officers/staff trained using the college of policing mainstream cyber training. This is the minimum training requirement for front line staff.• Number of officers/staff trained within niche departments on using the “Fire Brand” training.• The High tech crime unit (Bespoke training courses delivered to staff)• DMI role, the number of DMI trained within Force. <p>Additionally we will be able to record the Force commitment to Op Falcon and record the number of staff seconded to this Op who will be gaining skills and expertise in cyber investigation.</p>
DATA SOURCE	Crime Directorate

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

SATISFACTION	
MEASURE 17	Levels of satisfaction of victims of crime with the service provided by the city of London police.
OWNER	UPD
AIM/RATIONALE	The aim of this measure is to provide the Force will sufficiently detailed information to manage the quality of its service provision to the victims of crime. Although victim satisfaction surveys are a statutory requirement, they provide an essential indicator of the level of professionalism the Force portrays and provides. The Force includes victims of acquisitive crime, which is not required by the Home Office, as without those victims, the sample size for the City of London would not be statistically valid.
DEFINITIONS	“Victim of crime” are victims of violent crime (except sexual offences), vehicle crime, acquisitive crime and criminal damage
MEASUREMENT	PMG will receive quarterly reports of the results of survey results with comparative and trend information. Quarterly results will be broken down to report satisfaction with regard to ease of contact; actions taken; follow up; treatment; and whole experience. Whilst PMG can direct action in relation to any of those categories, the principal measure will be the results for whole experience.
DATA SOURCE	PIU (I&I)

SATISFACTON	
MEASURE 18	The percentage of people surveyed who believe the police in the City of London are doing a good or excellent job
OWNER	UPD
AIM/RATIONALE	This measure assesses the public’s perception of the Force, based on people who probably have not been a victim of crime but are part of the City of London community, be it in the capacity of resident, worker, or business. It will use a different survey from the Street Survey.
DEFINITIONS	NA
MEASUREMENT	The measure will be assessed by the annual customer survey conducted for the customer workstream of City Futures which assesses a range of service outcomes, from feeling of safety during the day and after dark to how well the public feel the Force is performing.
DATA SOURCE	PIU (I&I)

NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED